## **ORIGINAL**



1

3

4

5

**BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION**RECEIVED

2

COMMISSIONERS

BOB STUMP - Chairman GARY PIERCE BRENDA BURNS BOB BURNS

SUSAN BITTER SMITH

2013 NOV 15 P 2: 37

Z CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

NOV 1 5 2013

DOCKETED BY



6

7

8

10

11

12

12

14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

In the matter of:

BRIAN C. HAGEMAN, an unmarried man,

DELUGE, INC, a dissolved Delaware corporation,

HYDROTHERM POWER CORPORATION, a dissolved Delaware corporation,

Respondents.

DOCKET NO. S-20896A-13-0378

PROCEDURAL ORDER
(Schedules Pre-Hearing Conference)

## BY THE COMMISSION:

On November 5, 2013, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing ("Notice") against Brian C. Hageman, Deluge, Inc. ("Deluge") and Hydrotherm Power Corporation ("Hydrotherm") (collectively "Respondents"), in which the Division alleged multiple violations of the Arizona Securities Act ("Act") in connection with the offer and sale of securities in the form of stock and/or investment contracts.<sup>1</sup>

Respondent Hageman was duly served with a copy of the Notice.

On November 12, 2013, Respondent Hageman filed a request for hearing in response to the Notice in this matter pursuant to A.R.S §44-1972 and A.A.C. R14-4-306.

Accordingly, a pre-hearing conference should be scheduled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED a pre-hearing conference shall be held on December 10, 2013, at 10:00 a.m., at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington Street, Hearing Room No. 2, Phoenix, Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113-Unauthorized

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Both Deluge and Hydrotherm are dissolved Delaware corporations.

Communications) is in effect and shall remain in effect until the Commission's Decision in this 1 2 matter is final and non-appealable. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rules 31 and 38 of the Rules 3 of the Arizona Supreme Court and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to the practice of law and admission 4 5 pro hac vice. 6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal or representation must be made in compliance with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the 7 8 Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes appearances 9 at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is 10 scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the 11 Administrative Law Judge or the Commission. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, 12 13 amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by 14 ruling at hearing. day of November, 2013. 15 DATED this 16 17 18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 19 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered 20 this 15th day of November, 2013 to: 21 ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC. Brian Hageman 2200 North Central Avenue, Suite 502 18832 N. 95<sup>th</sup> Street 22 Scottsdale, AZ 85255 Phoenix, AZ 85004-1481 23 Matt Neubert, Director Securities Division 24 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION By: 1300 West Washington Street 25 Phoenix, AZ 85007 Assistant to Marc E. Stern 26

27

28